NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER

AD-A278 228

PROJECTIONS OF BATTLEFIELD MEDICAL CASUALTIES

AMONG U.S. MARINE FORCES FOR VARIOUS

THEATERS OF OPERATIONS



C. G. Blood E. D. Gauker M. E. Anderson M. S. Odowick E. R. O'Donnell



94 4 18 142

Report No. 93-35

Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.



NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER P. O. BOX 85122 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92186 - 5122

NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND BETHESDA, MARYLAND

Accusion For NTIS CRARI DHC TAB University and Justification Ðy Distribution [PROJECTIONS OF BATTLEFIELD MEDICAL CASUALTIES AMONG Availability Code U.S. MARINE FORCES FOR VARIOUS THEATERS OF OPERATIONS Avail and/or Dist Special Christopher G. Blood

Christopher G. Blood Eleanor D. Gauker Marlisa E. Anderson^{*} Michael S. Odowick^{*} Edward R. O'Donnell^{*}

Operations Research Division Medical Information Systems and Operations Research Department Naval Health Research Center P.O. Box 85122 San Diego, CA 92186-5122

*GEO Centers, Inc.

Report No. 93-35, supported by the Naval Medical Research and Development Command, Department of the Navy, under Work Unit No. 63706N M0095.005-6204. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government. Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

.

.

Executive Summary
Introduction
Method
East Asia Operational Scenario
Southwest Asia Operational Scenario 5
Europe Operational Scenario
Summary
References
Appendix A
Rate projections for East Asia
Rate fluctuations for East Asia
Appendix B
Rate projections for Southwest Asia
Rate fluctuations for Southwest Asia
Appendix C
Rate projections for Europe
Rate fluctuations for Europe
Appendix D
Rate calculations for East Asia
Appendix E
Rate calculations for Southwest Asia
Appendix F
Rate calculations for Europe

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Problem

Medical and manpower communities within all armed service branches require casualty forecasts for various operational scenarios. Casualty and illness rate projections are used to determine required medical resources and personnel needs. Objective

The present investigation seeks to provide casualty and disease/nonbattle injury (DNBI) rate projections for U.S. Marines in three operational theaters for varying battle intensities. Additionally, detailed documentation shall be provided describing the methodology used to project the rates.

Approach

Casualty and DNBI data were extracted from military operations spanning four decades. The empirically derived rates of casualties and illnesses were then adjusted for differences in terrain, weather, and disease incidence within specific theaters.

Results

Projected rates of casualty incidence were highest in Southwest Asia, followed by East Asia and Europe. Disease rate projections were similar between Southwest Asia and East Asia, with Europe disease rate forecasts approximately half of those in the Asian regions. Casualty and disease rates varied with combat/support status of troops. Projected rates indicated wide variations in the daily casualty incidence of wounded-in-action and killed-in-action. <u>Conclusions</u>

Required medical resources and personnel replacements will differ greatly with theater of operation, battle intensity, and mix of combat and support troops. Accurate logistical planning requires attention to extremes in daily rate incidence as well as rate averages.

PROJECTIONS OF BATTLEFIELD MEDICAL CASUALTIES AMONG U.S. MARINE FORCES FOR VARIOUS THEATERS OF OPERATIONS

INTRODUCTION

Medical and manpower planning communities within all service branches need casualty forecasts for differing operational scenarios. These projections are used to determine the medical resource and personnel requirements of combat operations in various geographical theaters. Logisticians require estimates of daily casualty and illness rates per 1000 strength per day to determine the medical and manpower demands. The specific equipment, medical supply, and personnel needs are then generated by several resourcing models used by the joint services. These models include the Deployable Medical Systems (DEPMEDS) and the Medical Planning and Execution System (MEPES) module of the Joint Operation Planning and Execution System (JOPES).

Casualty rate and disease/nonbattle injury (DNBI) incidence input to the MEPES module was requested from the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC) by the Chief of Naval Operations (N931)¹. MEPES Reference Data Report Layouts provided to NHRC indicate that rates of wounded-in-action (WIA), killed-in-action (KIA), disease, nonbattle injury, and battle fatigue are needed for five levels of battle intensity for different theaters of operation. Further, the illness and casualty rate projections should represent hospital admission incidence per 1000 troop strength per day.

METHOD

Casualty and DNBI incidence data were extracted for combat and support troops from administrative and medical records of military operations spanning four decades². The combat operations data were extracted from Muster Rolls/Unit Diaries of U.S. Marine combat and support troops participating in the assault on Okinawa, Korean War, and the Vietnam conflict. Noncombat data were extracted for Marines stationed in Tsingtao, China prior to the Korean Conflict and in Japan following the cessation of hostilities in World War II. Casualty and illness data were also extracted from medical logs of the United Kingdom amphibious force engaged in the Falklands War.

Historical accounts^{3,4,5,6,7,8} were examined to determine battle intensities during different phases of the operations. Based on accounts of enemy resistance, different operations and phases of operations were assigned one of the five JOPES-designated levels of combat intensity: no combat, light intensity, moderate intensity, heavy intensity, and intense.

Rates of WIA, KIA, and DNBI medical presentations during the operations assigned to specific battle intensities were then calculated. Rates of hospital admissions were computed as the percentage of medical presentations retained at treatment facilities for three days or more. Each operational component (for example, the Battle of Hue during the Vietnam conflict) that contributed to a specific battle intensity rate (e.g., intense battle tempo) was weighted according to the percentage of total mandays accounted for by the individual operation. The formulas and weighted hospital admission rates, for support and combat troops, for each battle intensity are found in Appendix D. As the vast majority of this empirical data was obtained from the operations in Okinawa, Korea, and Vietnam, the rates in Appendix D are the basis for the rate projections for an operational scenario in East Asia.

Examination of the casualty and DNBI incidence sustained during the various operations indicated rate differences between infantry and support troops². Likewise, the medical casualty rates of troops supporting the infantry battalions (tank battalions, artillery regiments, etc.) were lower than those of infantry units but higher than those of supporting units located toward the rear. Because medical admission rates differ between logistic and combat units, the overall rate for a Marine ground-based operation will be contingent upon the mix of support and combat troops.

Personnel data provided to the Naval Health Research Center indicates that a Marine Division and supporting units comprises

approximately 26,000 troops. This force includes approximately 9000 infantry troops (34.3%); 5500 non-infantry divisional troops such as tank, artillery, light-armored infantry, and combat engineer units (21.2%); and 11,600 non-divisional logistic troops composing the Force Service Support Group and the Surveillance, Reconnaisance, Intelligence Group (44.5%).

EAST ASIA OPERATIONAL SCENARIO

Appendix A contains medical admission rate projections for an East Asia combat scenario. These rate projections are based on the empirical data from East Asia and represent a divisional force composed of the aforementioned percentages of troop types. Also included in Appendix A are the rate projections for the individual troop-type components. Lastly, Appendix A contains graphs depicting the variations in daily WIA, KIA, and DNBI rates which may be sustained by infantry troops and an entire force during various battle intensities. Estimated fluctuations in casualty and illness rates are based on analyses examining the rate distribution characteristics of the extracted casualty/illness data¹⁰.

Medical planning models, however, require that DNBI rates be broken into a battle-fatigue component, a nonbattle-injury component, and a disease component. Determination of a battlefatigue proportion of the DNBI rate is based on Israeli research⁹ indicating that rates of battle fatigue (BF) approximate one-fourth of the WIA rate. Also, previous research by the Naval Health Research Center determined that 17% of the INBI rate during a military operation was attributable to nonbatule injuries (NBI). The overall DNBI rates incurred during the East Asia operations, then, were apportioned into a battle-fatigue component (WIA*0.25), a nonbattle-injury component (DNBI*0.17), and a disease component (DNBI-(BF+NBI).

SOUTHWEST ASIA OPERATIONAL SCENARIO

Appendix B contains medical admission rate projections for a Southwest Asia combat scenario as well as graphs depicting the expected variation in casualty and DNBI rates. Because the empirical data were from East Asia military operations (with the exception of the Falklands War), methodologies were needed to adjust the baseline casualty, illness, and nonbattle injury rates for another theater of operation.

Extensive analyses examining factors related to the prediction of battle casualties have been published by Dupuy.^{11,12} Using his factors for the effects of topographical characteristics and climatic conditions on casualty incidence, the ratio between known East Asia casualties and expected Southwest Asia casualties could be quantified. Topographical characteristics include terrain and foliation, while climatic conditions encompass temperature, precipitation, and cloud cover. Dupuy has calculated the impact of various terrains and climates upon a base casualty rate; for instance, because of restricted mobility and fields of fire limitations inherent in a rugged, mountainous region as opposed to a flat, bare, hard terrain Dupuy assigns a lesser "casualty impact" factor to the rugged terrain. Similarly, because inclement weather would reduce mobility, effectiveness of weapons systems, and overall fighting ability, a cold wet climate is also assigned a dampening effect on casualty rates when compared to a dry, temperate climate. The projected terrain and climate impacts on casualty sustainment used by Dupuy are shown in Appendix E.

The next step in the East Asia-Southwest Asia casualty rate conversions was to contrast the terrains and climates of the two regions. Topographical and meteorological descriptions for East Asia (Korea, Vietnam, Okinawa) and Southwest Asia (Iran, Iraq) were assessed^{13,14}, and Dupuy "casualty impact" factors were assigned. Appendix E also contains the terrain and climate descriptions for these regions, the factors assigned, and the calculations for the rate adjustment. This methodology indicated that casualty rates in Southwest Asia, due to terrain and climate differences, would be 1.84 times as high as those in East Asia.

Next, the disease incidence and nonbattle injury rates evidenced in East Asia needed to be adjusted to reflect any

expected differences for the Southwest Asia region. A previous study¹⁵ of U.S. Navy foreign shore facilities indicated that illness rates in Northeast Asia were approximately 70% of the rate in Southwest Asia. This 70% figure, however, did not include disease data from Southeast Asia (where rates of infectious disorders are higher than in Northeast Asia) while the empirical data from East Asia military operations did include a Southeast Asia component. Consequently, the decision was taken to project disease rates for Southwest Asia at the same level as that witnessed among the aggregated East Asia components (Okinawa, Korea, Vietnam). Nonbattle injury rates were converted from East Asia to Southwest Asia in accordance with actual rate differentials of Army troops fighting in these two regions¹⁶. Appendix E also contains the nonbattle injury adjustment factors.

EUROPE OPERATIONAL SCENARIO

Appendix C contains medical admission rate projections for a Europe combat scenario as well as graphical depictions of the expected variation in casualty and DNBI rates. Topographical and climatic characteristics for a wide region of Europe (Albania, Austria, Bulgaria, Czechoslavakia, Yugoslavia, Greece, Hungary, Poland, Romania) were assessed. Appendix F contains the terrain and weather characteristics for the European regions, the "casualty impact" factors for each region, and the calculations to convert the empirically derived East Asia casualty rates to projected rates for a conflict in the European theater.

Research comparing disease rates between theaters¹⁵ indicated that the rate for Europe was 0.454 times that evidenced in Southwest Asia; this factor was then used to project the disease rates in a European theater of operations. Nonbattle injury rates were converted from East Asia to Europe in accordance with the actual rate differential of Army troops fighting in these two regions¹⁶. Appendix F also contains the nonbattle injury adjustment factors.

SUMMARY

Medical resource planning for military operations is contingent upon accurate projections of the casualty and DNBI rates likely to be sustained. Armed with reliable estimates of medical casualty incidence, logisticians may then pre-position the needed supplies, equipment, and health care personnel.

The rate projections in this report indicate that large differences may be anticipated in casualty rates, and to a lesser extent, disease rates, depending on the theater of operations. Also, the overall casualty and disease rates will likewise vary greatly with the force mix of combat and support troops.

Several DNBI data points among the support troops are, perhaps, counterintuitive and warrant further explanation. The empirical data analyzed indicated that, among support troops, the DNBI rates were slightly lower during operations of heightened battle intensity than during noncombat and light-intensity operations. This anomaly between combat and support troops may be explained by two dynamics: (1) elevated combat stress and reductions in sanitary conditions with increasing battle tempo are not as pronounced among support troops as they are with infantry troops, and (2) medical care is more accessible and acceptable for support troops during non-combat/low battle-intensity operations than during higher intensity operations where medical resources must be available for mass casualties and troops performing logistical support view their functions as critical to the military operation.

It is important that the casualty and illness rates in this document not be viewed as static functions. Wide rate variations in daily incidence will be evidenced, especially among casualty rates, with increasing battle tempo. In this regard it is important that medical planning focuses on the extremes in casualty rates as well as on the averages. Lastly, the rates projected are based on a determined, motivated adversary not unlike the ones faced in Japan, Korea, and Vietnam. Training, motivation, and armaments of the adversary may all have additional impact on the rates provided.

- Chief of Naval Operations (N931). Letter requesting input to the MHDICAL AND PERSONNEL REFERENCE DATA FOR JOINT OPERATION PLANNING AND EXECUTION SYSTEM (JOPES). N931D3/033-93, 28 January 1993.
- Blood CG, Gauker ED, Jolly R, Pugh WM. Comparisons of Medical Presentation and Admission Rates During Various Combat Operations. Naval Health Research Center Report 93-12, San Diago, CA, 1993.
- Frank BM, Shaw HI. Victory and Occupation: History of the U.S. Marine Corps Operations in World War II, Vol. V. Historical Branch, G-3 Division, Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps, 1968.
- Appleman RE, Burns JM, Gugeler RA, Stevens J. United States Army in World War II, The War in the Pacific, Okinawa: The Last Battle. Historical Division, Department of the Army, Washington, D.C., 1948.
- Meid P, Yingling JM. U.S. Marine Operations in Korea, 1950-1953. Vol. V: Operations in West Korea. Historical Division, Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps, Washington, D.C., 1972.
- Pham VS, Le VD, Nguyen NH. The VC General Offensive: General Uprising Mau Than 1968. Material Printing Center, Vietnam, August 1968.
- Christmas GR. A Company Commander Reflects on Operation Hue City. In: The Marines in Vietnam 1954-1973. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1985.

- Simmons EH. Marine Corps Operations in Vietnam, 1968. In: The Marines in Vietnam 1954-1973. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1985.
- 9. Manglesdorff AD, King JM, O'Brien DE. Proceedings: Fourth Users' Workshop on Combat Stress. Health Care Studies and Clinical Investigation Activity, Health Services Command, Fort Sam Houston, Texas. 18-21 September 1984, CR 85-002.
- O'Donnell E, Blood CG. Distribution Characteristics of Marine Corps Casualty and Illness Rates. Naval Health Research Center Report No. 93-20, San Diego, CA 1993.
- Dupuy TN. Attrition: Forecasting Battle Casualties and Equipment Losses in Modern War. Hero Books, Fairfax, VA, 1990.
- Dupuy TN. Numbers, Predictions and War: Using History to Evaluate Combat Factors and Predict the Outcome of Battles. Hero Books, Fairfax, VA, 1985.
- Knecht PA, Ed. Background Notes. U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Public Affairs, Office of Public Communication, Washington, D.C., 1991.
- 14. The New Encyclopaedia Britannica, Vol. 1: Knowledge in Depth. Encyclopaedia Brittanica, Inc., 15th Ed., 1976.
- Blood CG, Pugh WM, Griffith DK, Nirona CB. Navy Medical Resource Planning: Rates of Illness for Various Operational Theaters. Report 88-42, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, 1988.

16. Field Manual, FM 101-10-1. Staff Officers' Field Manual -Organizational, Technical and Logistic Lata. Department of the Army, Washington, D.C., July 1976.

.



•

.

. -

.

...

.

. .

. .

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Division and Supporting Units -- East Asia

Casualties / 1000 / Day								
	Combat Intensity							
Casualty Type	1 (None)	2 (Light)	3 (Moderate)	4 (Heavy)	5 (Intense)			
WIA	0	0.53	1.18	2.42	3.61			
KIA	0	0.15	0.26	0.61	0.95			
BF	0	0.13	0.29	0.63	0.90			
DIS	0.98	0.98	0.98	1.21	1.29			
NBI	0.20	0.23	0.26	0.37	0.44			

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Infantry Battalions -- East Asia

Manufacture Casualties / 1000 / Day							
Casualty Type	1 (None)	2 (Light)	3 (Moderate)	4 (Неауу)	-5 (Intense)		
	0	1.33	2.75	5.77	7.64		
KIA	0	0.37	0.60	1.44	2.00		
BF	0	0.33	0.68	1.51	1.91		
DIS	0.98	0.99	1.60	2.00	2.00		
NBI	0.20	0.27	0.46	0.71	0.79		

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Divisional Excluding Infantry -- East Asia

Casualties / 1000 / Day							
	1 (None)	2 (Light)	3 (Moderate)	4 ((Heavy))	5:(intense)		
WIA II	0	0.34	0.80	1.62	2.64		
KANA	0	0.09	0.18	0.41	0.70		
HING BIT STATE	0	0.08	0.20	0.42	0.66		
DIS	0.98	0.97	0.83	1.02	1.12		
NBI	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.29	0.36		

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Extra-Divisional Support Troops -- East Asia

			7/13 8 8 (8) 8) 7/1 (0) : 1	Yuuunan				
eenner with the history								
Ref. CHELIGY	()	24(4(511))		4.((
and a second second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second S	0	0.01	0.15	0.23	0.97			
R AAAA	0	0	0.04	0.07	0.27			
1 217 /	0	0	0.04	0.06	0.24			
DIS	0.98	0.97	0.58	0.70	0.82			
NBI	0.20	0.20	0.13	0.15	0.22			



units fighting a light-intensity conflict in East Asia





units during a moderate-intensity conflict in East Asia



Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by Marine infantry units fighting a heavy-intensity conflict in East Asia



units fighting an 'intense' level conflict in East Asia



Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops fighting a light-intensity conflict in East Asia



A 120 DAY PERIOD OF AN OPERATION

Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops during a moderate-intensity conflict in East Asia



R

Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops fighting a heavy-intensity conflict in East Asia



Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops fighting an 'intense' level conflict in East Asia

Appendix B

..

1

S

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Division and Supporting Units -- Southwest Asia

Casualties / 1000 / Day Combat Intensity							
WIA	0	0.97	2.1 1	4.36	6.27		
KIA	0	0.27	0.46	1.10	1.65		
BF	0	0.24	0.53	1.09	1.57		
DIS	0.98	0.98	0.98	1.21	1.29		
NBI	0.26	0.29	0.31	0.44	0.54		

. 1

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Infantry Battalions -- Southwest Asia (Mideast)

Casualties / 1000 / Day								
1	Combat Intensity							
Casualty Type	1 (None)	2 (Light)	3 (Moderate)	4 (Heavy)	5 (Intense)			
WIA	0	2.44	5.05	10.59	14.03			
KIA	0	0.68	1.10	2.64	3.67			
BF	0	0.61	1.26	2.65	3.51			
DIS	0.98	0.99	1.60	2.00	2.00			
NBI	0.22	0.30	0.51	0.78	0.87			

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Divisional Excluding Infantry -- Southwest Asia (Mideast)

Casualties / 1000 / Day Combat Intensity							
Casualty Type	1 (None)	2 (Light)	3 (Moderate)	4 (Heavy)	5 (Intense)		
AIW	0	0.62	1.47	2.97	4.85		
KIA	0	0.16	0.33	0.75	1.28		
BF	0	0.15	0.37	0.74	1.21		
DIS	0.98	0.97	0.83	1.02	1.12		
NBI	0.27	0.30	0.28	0.39	0.49		

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Extra-Divisional Support Troops -- Southwest Asia (Mideast)

		ciasualties ciasualties	//1(0)0)//Da Intensity/	Y estimation disc	
Casualty Tryperation	1-(None)	2 (Light)	3 (Moderate)	4 (Heavy)	6 (Intense)
YYA		0.01	0.15	0.23	0.97
REAL PROPERTY	0	0	0.04	0.07	0.27
ЗР.	0	0	0.04	0.06	0.24
DIS	0.98	0.97	0.58	0.70	0.82
NBI	0.28	0.28	0.18	0.21	0.31



Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by Marine infantry units fighting a light-intensity conflict in S.W. Asia





units fighting a moderate-intensity conflict in S.W. Asia



units fighting a heavy-intensity conflict in S.W. Asia



units fighting an 'intense' level conflict in S.W. Asia



and supporting troops fighting a light-intensity conflict in S.W. Asia



Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops during a moderate-intensity conflict in S.W. Asia



Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops fighting a heavy-intensity conflict in S.W. Asia



Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division

and supporting troops fighting an 'intense' level conflict in S.W. Asia

Appendix C

اليها

ıü

.

....

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Division and Supporting Units -- Europe

Casualties / 1000 / Day						
		Combat	Intensity			
Casualty Type	1 (None)	2 (Light)	3 (Moderate)	4 (Heavy)	5 (Intense)	
WIA	0	0.72	1.60	3.30	4.91	
KIA	0	0.19	0.35	0.83	1.29	
BF	0	0.17	0.40	0.83	1.23	
DIS	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.55	0.58	
NBI	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.55	0.65	

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Infantry Battalions -- Europe

Casualties / 1000 / Day								
Combat Intensity								
Casualty Type	1 (None)	2 (Light)	3 (Moderate)	4 (Heavy)	5 (Intense)			
WIA	0	1.81	3.74	7.85	10.39			
KIA	0	0.50	0.82	1.96	2.72			
BF	0	0.45	0.94	1.96	2.60			
DIS	0.44	0.45	0.73	0.91	0.91			
NBI	0.31	0.42	0.71	1 .10	1.22			

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Divisional Excluding Infantry -- Europe

Casualties / 1000 / Day								
	Combat Intensity							
Casualty Type	1 (None)	2 (Light)	3 (Moderate)	4 (Heavy)	5 (Intense)			
WIA	0	0.46	1.09	2.20	3.59			
KIA	0	0.12	0.24	0.56	0.95			
BF	0	0.12	0.27	0.55	0.90			
DIS	0.44	0.44	0.38	0.46	0.51			
NBI	0.29	0.32	0.30	0.42	0.52			

Service: Marines

Scenario Id: Extra-Divisional Support Troops -- Europe

induling an ann an	in ur norschert sourges Gaaren verschert sourges	Casualties	/.1000/Da	Yaan raadiitaannoongaa Turin Arganistaan	a de la companya de Recorde de la companya de la company Recorde de la companya de la company
		n den son an an anna an an an an an an an an an		1. Alexandria da ante a ser a se Alexandria da ser a s Alexandria da ser a s	
WIA	0	0.01	0.20	0.31	1.32
KIA	0	0	0.05	0.10	0.37
BF	0	0	0.05	0.08	0.33
DIS	0.44	0.44	0.26	0.32	0.37
NBI	0.26	0.26	0.17	0.19	0.28

units fighting a moderate-intensity conflict in Europe

units fighting a heavy-intensity conflict in Europe

A 120 DAY PERIOD OF AN OPERATION

Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by Marine infantry

units fighting an 'intense' level conflict in Europe

Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops fighting a light-intensity conflict in Europe

Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops fighting a moderate-intensity conflict in Europe

Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops fighting a heavy-intensity conflict in Europe

Projected rates of WIA and DNBI admissions incurred by a Marine Division and supporting troops fighting an 'intense' level conflict in Europe

Appendix D

.

FORMULAS

WDNBI = Weighted DNBI rate WWIA = Weighted WIA rate WKIA = Weighted KIA rate %ADM = Percentage of patient presentations admitted to treatment facility DAYS = Mandays no combat = No combat intensity level low = Low combat intensity level mod = Moderate combat intensity level heavy = Heavy combat intensity level intense = Intense combat intensity level tsing = Infantry regiments, Tsingtao, China japan = Sasebo, Japan occupation troops viethg = Vietnam, Headquarters Company koreacom = Combat troops. Korea koreasup = Support troops, Korea okinsup = Support troops, Okinawa okinlow = Low intensity, Okinawa combat troops okinmod = Moderate intensity, Okinawa combat troops okinheavy = Heavy intensity, Okinawa combat troops okintot = Overall, Okinawa combat troops viet = Vietnam, combat troops viethue = Tet offensive, Vietnam, Hue falk = Falklands ground troops

Combat

Symbols:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{WDNBI}_{\text{teing}} = (\text{DNBI}_{\text{teing}})(\%\text{ADM}_{\text{teing}})(\text{DAYS}_{\text{teing}}/\text{DAYS}_{\text{teing}+japan}) \\ \text{WDNBI}_{japan} = (\text{DNBI}_{japan})(\%\text{ADM}_{japan})(\text{DAYS}_{japan}/\text{DAYS}_{\text{teing}+japan}) \\ \text{WDNBI}_{no \ combat} = \text{WDNBI}_{\text{teing}} + \text{WDNBI}_{japan} \end{array}$

WWIA_{taing} = (WIA_{taing})(%ADM_{taing})(DAYS_{taing}/DAYS_{taing+japan}) WWIA_{japan} = (WIA_{japan})(%ADM_{japan})(DAYS_{japan}/DAYS_{taing+japan}) WWIA_{no combat} = WWIA_{taing} + WWIA_{japan}

WKIA_{tsing} = (KIA_{tsing})(DAYS_{tsing}/DAYS_{tsing+japan}) WKIA_{japan} = (KIA_{japan})(DAYS_{japan}/DAYS_{tsing+japan}) WKIA_{no combet} = WKIA_{tsing} + WKIA_{japan}

WDNBI_{vist} = (DNBI_{vist})(%ADM_{vist})(DAYS_{vist}/DAYS_{vist+falk+okinlow}) WDNBI_{falk} = (DNBI_{falk})(%ADM_{falk})(DAYS_{falk}/DAYS_{vist+falk+okinlow}) WDNBI_{okinlow} = (DNBI_{okinlow})(%ADM_{okinlow})(DAYS_{okinlow}/DAYS_{vist+falk+okinlow}) WDNBI_{iow} = WDNBI_{vist} + WDNBI_{falk} + WDNBI_{okinlow}

WWIA_{vist} = (WIA_{vist})(%ADM_{vist})(DAYS_{vist}/DAYS_{vist+falk+okinlow}) WWIA_{falk} = (WIA_{falk})(%ADM_{falk})(DAYS_{falk}/DAYS_{vist+falk+okinlow}) WWIA_{okinlow} = (WIA_{okinlow})(%ADM_{okinlow})(DAYS_{okinlow}/DAYS_{vist+falk+okinlow}) WWIA_{low} = WWIA_{vist} + WWIA_{falk} + WWIA_{okinlow}

WKIA_{vist} = (KIA_{vist})(DAYS_{vist}/DAYS_{vist+falk+okinlow}) WKIA_{falk} = (KIA_{falk})(DAYS_{falk}/DAYS_{vist+falk+okinlow}) WKIA_{okinlow} = (KIA_{okinlow})(DAYS_{okinlow}/DAYS_{vist+falk+okinlow}) WKIA_{tow} = WKIA_{vist} + WKIA_{falk} + WKIA_{okinlow}

WDNBI_{koreacom} = (DNBI_{koreacom})(%ADM_{koreacom})(DAYS_{koreacom}/DAYS_{koreacom+vist+falk+okiamod}) WDNBI_{vist} = (DNBI_{vist})(%ADM_{vist})(DAYS_{vist}/DAYS_{koreacom+vist+falk+okiamod}) WDNBI_{falk} = (DNBI_{falk})(%ADM_{falk})(DAYS_{falk}/DAYS_{koreacom+vist+falk+okiamod}) WDNBI_{okiamod} = (DNBI_{okiamod})(%ADM_{okiamod})(DAYS_{okiamod}/DAYS_{koreacom+vist+falk+okiamod})
$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{koreacom}} \approx (\mathsf{WlA}_{\mathsf{kore}-\mathsf{om}})(\mathsf{CADM}_{\mathsf{koreacom}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}},\mathsf{vectetalk cokinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{vict}} \simeq (\mathsf{WlA}_{\mathsf{vict}})(\mathsf{CADM}_{\mathsf{vict}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{vict}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}},\mathsf{vectetalk cokinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{rat}} = (\mathsf{WlA}_{\mathsf{rat}})(\mathsf{CADM}_{\mathsf{vict}})(\mathsf{LAYS}_{\mathsf{vict}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}},\mathsf{vectetalk cokinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{rat}} = (\mathsf{WlA}_{\mathsf{rat}})(\mathsf{CADM}_{\mathsf{bit}})(\mathsf{LAYS}_{\mathsf{rat}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{foreacom}},\mathsf{vectetalk cokinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{rat}} = (\mathsf{WlA}_{\mathsf{rat}})(\mathsf{CADM}_{\mathsf{bit}})(\mathsf{LAYS}_{\mathsf{rat}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{foreacom}},\mathsf{vectetalk cokinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{okinmod}} = (\mathsf{WlA}_{\mathsf{rat}})(\mathsf{CAMM}_{\mathsf{vict}})(\mathsf{CAYS}_{\mathsf{comod}})(\mathsf{CAYS}_{\mathsf{com}},\mathsf{vectetalk cokinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{mod}} \approx \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{koreacom}} + \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{vict}} + \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{falk}} + \mathsf{WWlA}_{\mathsf{okinmod}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{koreacom}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{koreacom}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}}, \mathsf{vict} + \mathsf{falk} + \mathsf{okinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{vict}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{vict}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{vict}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}} + \mathsf{vict} + \mathsf{falk} + \mathsf{okinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{falk}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{falk}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{falk}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}} + \mathsf{vict} + \mathsf{falk} + \mathsf{okinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{balk}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{okinmod}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{falk}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}} + \mathsf{vict} + \mathsf{falk} + \mathsf{okinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{bolow}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{okinmod}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinmod}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreacom}} + \mathsf{vict} + \mathsf{falk} + \mathsf{okinmod}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{mod}} = \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{korcacom}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{vict}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{falk}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinmod}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{WDNBI}_{okintot} = (\text{DNBI}_{okintot})(\%\text{ADM}_{okintot})(\text{DAYS}_{okintot}/\text{DAYS}_{okintot}^+ okinnod}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{okintool} = (\text{DNBI}_{okintool})(\%\text{ADM}_{okintool})(\text{DAYS}_{okintool}/\text{DAYS}_{okintool}^+ okintool}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{beavy} = \text{WDNBI}_{okintos}^+ + \text{WDNBI}_{ekentool} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okintod}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{okintod}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{okintod}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintod}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot+okinmod}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okintod}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{okinmod}})(\%\mathcal{ADM}_{\mathsf{okinmod}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinmod}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot+okinmod}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{heavy}} = \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okintot}} \in \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinmod}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okintot}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{okintot}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot}}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okintost}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{okintod}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintost}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot}}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{heavy}} = \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okintot}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okintost}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{WDNBI}_{okirtot} \approx (\text{DNBI}_{okintet}) (\% \text{ADM}_{okintet}) (\text{DAYS}_{okintet}/\text{DAYS}_{okintet+victhie-cokinheavy}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{victhue} = (\text{DNBI}_{victhue}) (\% \text{ADM}_{victhue}) (\text{DAYS}_{victhue}/\text{DAYS}_{okintet+victhue+okinheavy}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{okinheavy} = (\text{DNBI}_{okinheavy}) (\% \text{ADM}_{okinheavy}) (\text{DAYS}_{okinheavy}/\text{DAYS}_{okintet+victhue+okinheavy}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{intense} = (\text{WDNBI}_{okintet} + \text{WDNBI}_{victhue} + \text{WDNBI}_{okinheavy}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WW}^{*}\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{okintor}} = (\mathsf{WLA}_{\mathsf{okintor}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{okintor}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintor}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintor}}/\mathsf{okintor}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{viethue}} = (\%/\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{viethue}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{viethue}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{viethue}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintor}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinte}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{okinte}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{okinte}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{viethue}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintor}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinte}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{okinte}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{okinte}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintor}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintor}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinte}} = (\mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinte}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{viethue}})(\mathsf{MAYS}_{\mathsf{okintor}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okintor}} = (\mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okintor}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okintor}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okintor}} = (\mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okintor}}) \\ & \mathsf{WW$$

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okiatot}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{okintot}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot}} \times \mathsf{viethue} \ast \mathsf{okinteavy}) \\ &\mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{viethue}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{viethue}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{viethue}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot}} \times \mathsf{viethue} \ast \mathsf{okinteavy}) \\ &\mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinteavy}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{okinteavy}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinteavy}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot}} \times \mathsf{viethue} \ast \mathsf{okinteavy}) \\ &\mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinteavy}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{okinteavy}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinteavy}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okintot}} \times \mathsf{viethue} \ast \mathsf{okinteavy}) \\ &\mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinteavy}} = (\mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okintot}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{viethue}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinteavy}}) \end{split}$$

Support

$$\begin{split} & \text{WDNBI}_{\text{tsing}} \approx (\text{DNBI}_{\text{tsing}})(\%\text{ADM}_{\text{tsing}})(\text{DAYS}_{\text{tsing}}/\text{DAYS}_{\text{tsing}+\text{paper}}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{\text{paper}} \approx (\text{DNBI}_{\text{paper}})(\%\text{ADM}_{\text{paper}})(\text{DAYS}_{\text{paper}}/\text{DAYS}_{\text{tsing}+\text{paper}}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{\text{no-combat}} \approx (\text{WDNBI}_{\text{tsing}} + \text{WDNBI}_{\text{paper}}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{tsing}} \approx (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{tsing}})(\mathscr{G}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{DM}_{\mathsf{ising}})(\mathsf{D}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{Y}\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{tsing}}/\mathsf{D}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{Y}\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{tsing}+\mathsf{japan}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{japan}} \approx (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{japan}})(\mathscr{G}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{D}\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{iapan}})(\mathsf{D}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{Y}\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{japan}}/\mathsf{D}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{Y}\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{tsing}+\mathsf{japan}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{po}} : \mathsf{contail} \approx \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{ising}} + \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{opan}} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{t}^{-1}\mathsf{hg}} & \simeq (\mathsf{KiA}_{\mathsf{tsing}}) \mathsf{(DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}}/ \mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}} \mathsf{(papan)}^{\mathsf{Y}} \\ \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{papan}} & \simeq (\mathsf{KiA}_{\mathsf{papan}}) \mathsf{(DAYS}_{\mathsf{papan}}/ \mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}} \mathsf{(papan)}^{\mathsf{Y}} \\ \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{no}} \mathsf{conbal} & \sim \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{tsing}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{papan}} \\ \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} & \text{WDNEI}_{\text{tsing}} \sim (\text{DNBI}_{\text{tsing}})(\%\text{ADM}_{\text{tsing}})(\text{DAYS}_{\text{tsing}}/\text{DAYS}_{\text{tring},\text{japan},\text{viethq}}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{\text{japan}} \approx (\text{DNBI}_{\text{japan}})(\%\text{ADS}_{\text{japan}})(\text{DAYS}_{\text{japan}}/\text{DAYS}_{\text{tsing},\text{japan},\text{viethq}}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{\text{viethq}} \sim (\text{DNBI}_{\text{viethq}})(\%\text{ADM}_{\text{viethq}})(\text{DAYS}_{\text{japan}}/\text{DAYS}_{\text{tsing},\text{japan},\text{viethq}}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{\text{viethq}} \sim (\text{DNBI}_{\text{viethq}})(\%\text{ADM}_{\text{viethq}})(\%\text{ADM}_{\text{viethq}})(\text{DAYS}_{\text{japan}}/\text{DAYS}_{\text{tsing},\text{japan},\text{viethq}}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{\text{viethq}} \sim (\text{WDNBI}_{\text{viethq}})(\%\text{ADM}_{\text{viethq}})(\%\text{ADM}_{\text{viethq}}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{\text{tow}} \approx (\text{WDNBI}_{\text{tsing}} + \text{WDNBI}_{\text{japan}} + \text{WDNBI}_{\text{viethq}}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{tsing}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{tsing}})(\% \mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{tsing}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}+\mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{p}}\mathsf{an}+\mathsf{viethq}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{tap}\mathsf{an}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{p}\mathsf{p}\mathsf{an}})(\% \mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{p}\mathsf{p}\mathsf{an}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{p}\mathsf{p}\mathsf{an}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}+\mathsf{p}\mathsf{p}\mathsf{an}+\mathsf{viethq}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{viethq}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{v}\mathsf{ictqq}})(\% \mathsf{ADN}_{\mathsf{v}\mathsf{p}\mathsf{ch}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{p}\mathsf{p}\mathsf{ch}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}+\mathsf{p}\mathsf{p}\mathsf{an}+\mathsf{viethq}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{viethq}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{v}\mathsf{ictqq}})(\% \mathsf{ADN}_{\mathsf{v}\mathsf{v}\mathsf{ch}}\mathsf{q})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{p}\mathsf{ch}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}+\mathsf{p}\mathsf{p}\mathsf{an}+\mathsf{viethq}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{tow}} = \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{tsing}} + \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{p}\mathsf{anan}} + \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{viethq}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{tsing}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{tsing}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}+\mathsf{japan}+\mathsf{viethq}}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{japan}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{japan}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{japan}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{tsing}+\mathsf{japan}+\mathsf{viethq}}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{viethq}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{viethq}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{viethq}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{sing}+\mathsf{japan}+\mathsf{viethq}}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{how}} = (\mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{tsing}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{japan}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{viethq}}) \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{WDNBI}_{koreasup} = (\text{DNBI}_{koreasup})(\%\text{ADM}_{koreasup})(\text{DAYS}_{koreasup} \oplus \text{AYS}_{koreasup+okinsup}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{okinsup} = (\text{DNBI}_{okinsup})(\%\text{ADM}_{okinsup})(\text{DAYS}_{okinsup}/\text{DAYS}_{koreasup+okinsup}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{mod} = \text{WDNBI}_{koreasup} + \text{WDNBI}_{okinsup} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{koreasup}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{koreasup}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{koreasup}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup+okinsup}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinsup}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{okinsup}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{okinsup}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinsup}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup+okinsup}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{nwd}} = \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{koreasup}} + \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinsup}} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} WKIA_{koreasup} \coloneqq (KIA_{koreasup})(DAYS_{koreasup}/DAYS_{koreasup+okinsup}) \\ WKIA_{okinsup} = (KIA_{okinsup})(DAYS_{okinsup}/DAYS_{koreasup+okinsup}) \\ WKIA_{mod} = WKIA_{koreasup} + WKIA_{okinsup} \\ \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} & \text{WDNBI}_{koreasup} \approx (\text{DNBI}_{koreasup})(\% \text{ADM}_{koreasup})(\text{DAYS}_{koreasup}/\text{DAYS}_{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinlow}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{okinsup} \approx (\text{DNBI}_{okinsup})(\% \text{ADM}_{okinsup})(\text{DAYS}_{okinsup}/\text{DAYS}_{koreasup+okinsup+okinlow}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{okinlow} = (\text{DNBI}_{okinlow})(\% \text{ADM}_{okinlow})(\text{DAYS}_{okinlow}/\text{DAYS}_{koreasup+okinsup+okinlow}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{okinlow} = (\text{DNBI}_{okinlow})(\% \text{ADM}_{okinlow})(\text{DAYS}_{okinlow}/\text{DAYS}_{koreasup+okinsup+okinlow}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{beinlow} = \text{WDNBI}_{koreasup} + \text{WDNBI}_{okinlow} = (\text{WDNBI}_{beinlow}) \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{koreasup}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{koreasup}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{koreasup}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinlow}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinsup}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{okinsup}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{okinsup}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinsup}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup+okinsup+okinlow}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinlow}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{okinlow}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{okinlow}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinsup}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup+okinsup+okinlow}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinlow}} = (\mathsf{WIA}_{\mathsf{okinlow}})(\%\mathsf{ADM}_{\mathsf{okinlow}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinlow}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup+okinsup+okinlow}}) \\ & \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{travy}} = \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{koreasup}} + \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinlow}} + \mathsf{WWIA}_{\mathsf{okinlow}} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} WKIA_{koreasup} \cong (KIA_{koreasup})(DAYS_{koreasup}/DAYS_{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinlow}) \\ WKIA_{okinsup} \cong (KIA_{okinsup})(DAYS_{okinsup}/DAYS_{koreasup+okinsup+okinlow}) \\ WDNB1_{okinlow} \equiv (DNB1_{okinlow})(DAYS_{okinlow}/DAYS_{koreasup+okinsup+okinlow}) \\ WKIA_{neavy} \cong WKIA_{koreasup} + WKIA_{okinsup} + WKIA_{okinlow} \\ \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} & \text{WDNBI}_{koreasup} = (\text{DNBI}_{koreasup})(\%\text{ADM}_{koreasup})(\text{DAYS}_{koreasup}/\text{DAYS}_{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinsup}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{okinsup} = (\text{DNBI}_{okinsup})(\%\text{ADM}_{okinsup})(\text{DAYS}_{okinsup}/\text{DAYS}_{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinsup}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{okinnod} = (\text{DNBI}_{okinsup})(\%\text{ADM}_{okinsup})(\text{DAYS}_{okinsup}/\text{DAYS}_{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinsup}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{okinsup} = (\text{WDNBI}_{okinsup})(\%\text{ADM}_{okinsup})(\text{DAYS}_{okinsup}/\text{DAYS}_{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinsup}) \\ & \text{WDNBI}_{intense} = \text{WDNBI}_{koreasup} + \text{WDNBI}_{okinsup} + \text{WDNBI}_{okinsup} \\ \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} WWIA_{koreasup} & = (WIA_{koreasup})(\%ADM_{koreasup})(DAYS_{koreasup}/DAYS_{koreasup+okincup+okincup+okincup}) \\ WWIA_{okinsup} & = (WIA_{okinsup})(\%ADM_{okinsup})(DAYS_{okinsup}/DAYS_{koreasup+okinsup+okincup}) \\ WWIA_{okinnod} & = (WIA_{okinnod})(\%ADM_{okinnod})(DAYS_{okinnod}/DAYS_{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup}) \\ WWIA_{okinnod} & = (WIA_{okinnod})(\%ADM_{okinsup})(DAYS_{okinnod}/DAYS_{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup}) \\ WWIA_{intense} & = WWIA_{koreasup} + WWIA_{okinsup} + WWiA_{okinnod} \\ \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{koreasup}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{koreasup}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinsup}}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinsup}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{okinsup}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinsup}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinsup}}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinnod}} = (\mathsf{KIA}_{\mathsf{okinsup}})(\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{okinsup}}/\mathsf{DAYS}_{\mathsf{koreasup+okinsup+okinsup+okinsup}}) \\ & \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinnod}} = \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{koreasup}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinsup}} + \mathsf{WKIA}_{\mathsf{okinnod}} \end{split}$$

Legend

% ADM = Percent of presentations admitted to treatment facility DAYS = Total number of mandays across all component scenarios % DAYS= Percent of total mandays represented by specific scenario

Weighted Intensity Rate = Σ (Component Presentation Rate x %ADM x %DAYS)

<u>COMBAT</u>

	DNBI	WIA		KIΛ		WEIGHTED RAT			RATES	
	RATE	%ADM	RATE	%ADM	RATE	DAYS	%DAYS	DNBI	WIA	KIA
No Com'at										
Tsingtao	1.88	.85	-0-	***	-()-	551756	.577	.92		
Japan	.73	<u>185</u>	<u>-0-</u>		<u>-0-</u>	<u>403758</u>	423	.26		
Light								<u>1.18</u>		
Vietnam	1.78	.88	2.50	.64	.31	205186	.313	.49	.50	.10
Falklands	1.27	.78	1.86	.90	.71	168609	257	.25	47	18
Okin Low	2.26	90	1.00	.84	<u>,22</u>	281327	,429	185	.36	<u>.09</u>
								1.59	<u>1.33</u>	<u>.37</u>
Moderate										
Korea Com	3,31	.79	2.75	.62	.20	625209	.501	1.31	.85	.10
Vietnam	1.78	.88	2.50	.64	.31	205186	.164	.26	.26	.02
Falklands	1.27	.78	1.86	.99	.71	168609	.135	.13	.25	.10
Okin Mod	<u>5.96</u>	.88	<u>8.31</u>	<u>,84</u>	1.92	<u>248814</u>	.109	1.04	1.32	.38
								2.74	2.75	.60
<u>Heavy</u>										
Okin Tot	4.56	.88	6.57	.84	1.35	1224150	.831	3.33	4,59	1.12
Okin Mod	<u>5.96</u>	.88	8,31	.84	<u>1.92</u>	<u>248814</u>	,169	,89	1.18	.32
								4.22	<u>5,77</u>	1.44
Intense										
Okin Tot	4.56	.88	6.57	.84	1.35	1224150	.836	3.36	4.61	1.13
Vict-Hue	1.23	.88	41.16	.64	5.58	12194	.008	.01	.21	.04
<u>Okin Heavy</u>	<u>9.48</u>	.88	21,50	.84	<u>5.33</u>	228584	.156	<u>1.30</u>	2.82	.83 -
								4.67	7.64	2,00

WEIGHTED DNBI, WIA, KIA RATES ACROSS INTENSITIES

Legend

%ADM = Percent of presentations admitted to treatment facility
 DAYS = Total number of mandays across all component scenarios
 %DAYS= Percent of total mandays represented by specific scenario

,

.

Weighted Intensity Rate = \sum (Component Presentation Rate x %ADM x %DAYS)

<u>SUPPORT</u>	DNBI RATE	%,ADM	WIA RATE	%ADM	KIA Rate	DAYS	%DAYS	WI DNBI	eighted Wia	RATES KIA
No Combat										
Tsingtao <u>Japan</u>	1,88 <u>,73</u>	.85 <u>.85</u>	-0- <u>-0-</u>	···-	-0- <u>-0-</u>	551756 <u>403758</u>	.577 ,423	,92 , <u>26</u> <u>1,18</u>	 	
Light										
Tsingtao Japan <u>Viet HO</u>	1.88 .73 <u>1.18</u>	.85 .85 <u>.88</u>	-0- -0- <u>.33</u>	 .64	-0- -0- -0-	551756 403758 <u>27016</u>	.561 .411 .027	.88 .26 .03	-0- -0- <u>.01</u>	-0- -0- <u>-0-</u>
Moderate								<u>1.17</u>	<u>.01</u>	<u>-0-</u>
Korea Sup <u>Okin Sup</u>	.76 <u>.93</u>	.93 <u>.85</u>	.10 <u>.43</u>	.56 <u>.68</u>	.01 . <u>09</u>	1134036 <u>650898</u>	.635 . <u>365</u>	.45 .29 .74	.04 .11 15	.01 <u>.03</u> .04
<u>Heavy</u>								ن م نىڭ		
Korea Sup Okin Sup <u>Okin Light</u>	.76 .93 <u>2.26</u>	.93 .85 <u>.88</u>	.10 .43 <u>1.00</u>	.56 .68 .84	.01 .09 <u>.22</u>	1134036 650898 <u>281327</u>	.549 .315 <u>.136</u>	.39 .25 <u>.27</u>	.03 .09 .11	.01 .03 <u>.03</u>
Intense								<u>.91</u>	.23	.07
Korea Sup Okin Sup <u>Okin Mod</u>	.70 .93 <u>5.96</u>	.93 .85 <u>.88</u>	.10 .43 <u>8.31</u>	.56 .68 <u>.84</u>	.01 .09 <u>1.92</u>	1134036 650898 <u>248814</u>	.558 .320 .122	.01 .25 <u>.64</u>	.03 .09 <u>.85</u>	.01 .03 <u>.23</u>
								1,28	.97	.27

Appendix E

.

,

.

•

IMPACT OF TERRAIN AND WEATHER ON CASUALTY RATES (FROM DUPUY)

Terrain Factors

ļ.	Rugged, heavily wooded	0.30
2.	Rugged, mixed (extra-rugged, bare)	0.40
3.	Rugged, bare	0.50
4.	Rolling foothills, heavily wooded	0.60
5.	Rolling foothills, mixed	0.70
6.	Rolling foothills, bare	0.80
7.	Rolling gentle, heavily wooded	0.65
8.	Rolling gentle, mixed	0.75
9.	Rolling gentle, bare	0.85
10.	Flat, heavily wooded	0.70
11.	Flat, mixed	0.80
12.	Flat, bare, hard	1.00
13.	Flat, desert	0.90
14.	Rolling dunes	0.50
15.	Swamp, jungle	0.30
16.	Swamp, mixed, or open	0.40
17.	Urban	0.50
Weat	her Factors	
1.	Dry, sunshine, extreme heat	0.8
2.	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.0
3.	Dry, sunshine, extreme cold	0.7
4.	Dry, overcast, extreme heat	0.9
5.	Dry, overcast, temperate	1.0
6.	Dry, overcast, extreme cold	0.6
7.	Wet, light, extreme heat	0.7
8.	Wet, light, temperate	0.7
9.	Wet, light, extreme cold	0.4
10.	Wet, heavy, extreme heat	0.5
11.	Wet, heavy, temperate	0.5
12.	Wet, heavy, extreme cold	0.3

Bastern Asia Terrain

Country N. Korea	Description of Factor Rugged, mixed	Numeric Factor	Points
	Rolling foothills, mixed Flat, mixed	.70 .80	1.9
S. Korea	Rugged, mixed Rolling foothills, mixed	.40.70	1.1
Vietnam	Rugged, heavily wooded Rugged, mixed Rolling foothills heavily wood Flat, mixed Swamp, jungle Swamp, mixed, or open Swamp, mixed, or open	.30 .40 .60 .80 .30 .40 .40	3.2
Okinawa	Rugged, mixed	.40	. 4
Total Eas	tern Asia		6.6

Southwestern Asia Terrain

Countr	y Description of Factor	Numeric Factor	Points
Iraq	Flat, desert	.90	
-	Flat, desert	.90	
	Flat, desert	.90	
	Rugged, bare	.50	
	Flat, bare, hard	1.00	
	Swamp, mixed, open	.40	4.6
Iran	Flat, desert	.90	
	Flat, desert	.90	
	Flat, bare, hard	1.00	
	Rugged, mixed	.40	3.2
Total	Southwestern Asia		7.8

Eastern Asia Weather

٠

.

.

.

Country	Description of Factor	Numeric Factor	Points
N. Korea	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.0	
	Dry, overcast, extreme cold	.6	
	Wet, light, extreme cold	. 4	
	Wet, heavy, temperate	، 5	2.5
S. Korea	Dry, sunshine, extreme cold	.7	
	Dry, overcast, temperate	1.0	
	Wet, light, temperate	.7	
	Wet, heavy, temperate	. 5	2.9
Vietnam	Wet, heavy, extreme heat	.5	
	Wet, heavy, extreme heat	. 5	
	Wet, heavy, temperate	.5	
	Wet, heavy, temperate	.5	
	Dry, overcast, extreme heat	.9	
	Dry, overcast, temperate	1.0	
	Dry, overcast, temperate	1.0	4.9
Okinawa	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.0	
	Wet, heavy, temperate	.5	
	Wet, light, temperate	.7	2.2
Total Eas	tern Asia		12.5

Southwest Asia Weather

Country	Description of Factor	Numeric Factor	Points
Iraq	Dry, sunshine, extreme heat	.8	
-	Dry, sunshine, extreme heat	.8	
	Wet, light, temperate	.7	
	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.0	3.3
Iran	Dry, sunshine, extreme heat	.8	
	Dry, sunshine, extreme heat	.8	
	Dry, overcast, temperate	1.0	
	Wet, light, temperate	.7	3.3
Total S	Southwest Asia		6.6

Summary of Assigned Terrain and Weather Factors

Terrain

Rest Asia

Southwest Asia

Southwest Asia

N. Korea S. Korea Vietnam <u>Okinawa</u> Total	<u>points</u> 1.9 1.1 3.2 . <u>4</u> 6.6	<u># factors</u> 3 2 7 <u>1</u> 13	Iran <u>Iraq</u> Total	<u>points</u> 3.2 <u>4.6</u> 7.8	<u># factors</u> 4 <u>6</u> 10
	6.6/13 =	.51		7.8/10	= .78
		.78/.51	= <u>1.53</u>		

Weather

East Asia

N. Korea S. Korea Vietnam <u>Okinawa</u> Total	<u>points</u> 2.5 2.9 4.9 <u>2.2</u> 12.5	<u># factors</u> 4 7 <u>3</u> 18	Iran <u>Iraq</u> Total	<u>points</u> 3.3 <u>3.3</u> 6.6	<u># factors</u> 4 <u>4</u> 8
	12.5/18 =	.69		6.6/8	.82

.82/.69 = 1.2

Total Terrain and Weather Factor

Terrain factor x Weather factor = Total SW Asia Adjustment Factor 1.53 x 1.2 = 1.836

Army NBI Rates^{*} for Southwest Asia (Mideast)

	Infantry	Divisional Noninfantry	Nondivisional
Mideast	0.38	0.385	0.35

Army NBI Rates^{*} for East Asia

	Infantry	Divisional Noninfantry	Nondivisional
Central and South Pacific	0.28	0.23	0.21
Korea	0.62	0.505	0.40
Vietnam	0.15	0.125	0.15
Overall East Asia	0.3465	0.2837	0.2508

Conversion Factors: East Asia to Southwest Asia

	Infantry	Divisional Noninfantry	Nondivisional
Conversion Factor	0.38/0.347 = 1.097	0.385/0.284 = 1.357	0.35/0.251 = 1.395

* from FM 101-10-1 (staff officers field manual)

Appendix F

,

.

European Terrain

.

.

4

٠

<u>Country</u> Albania	Description of Factor Rugged, mixed	Numeric Factor .40	Points
	Rolling foothills, mixed Flat, mixed	.70 .80	1,9
Austria	Rolling foothills, heavily wooded Flat, mixed	.60 .80	1.4
Bulgaria	Rugged, mixed Rolling gentle, mixed Flat, mixed	.40 .75 .80	1.95
Czech.	Rugged, mixed Rolling foothills, mixed Rolling gentle, mixed Flat, mixed	.40 .70 .75 .80	2.65
Yugo.	Rugged, heavily wooded Rugged, mixed Rugged, mixed Rolling gentle, mixed Flat, heavily wooded Flat, mixed	.30 .40 .75 .70 .80	3.35
Greece	Rugged, mixed Rolling foothills, mixed Flat, mixed	.40 .70 .80	1.9
Hungary	Flat, mixed Rolling dunes Rolling foothills, mixed	.80 .50 .70	2.0
Poland	Flat, mixed Rolling foothills, mixed Rolling gentle, mixed Flat, bare, hard	.80 .70 .75 1.00	3.25
Romania	Rugged, mixed Rolling foothills, mixed Flat, mixed	.40 .70 .80	1.9

Total Europe

20.3

European Weather

Country D	Description of Factor	Numeric Factor	Points
Albania E	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.00	
W	Vet, light, temperate	.70	
W	Vet, light, extreme cold	.40	
N N	Vet, heavy, temperate	.50	2.6
Austria D	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.00	
W	Vet, light, temperate	.70	
W	Vet, light, extreme cold	.40	
N N	let, heavy, temperate	.50	2.6
Bulgaria D	Dry, gunshine, temperate	1.00	
W	Vet, light, temperate	.70	
W	Vet, light, extreme cold	.40	
Ľ	Dry, overcast, extreme cold	.60	2.7
Czech. D	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.00	
W	Vet, light, temperate	.70	
. <u>w</u>	vet, light, temperate	. / 0	2 4
	Dry, overcast, temperate	1.00	3.4
Yugo. E	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.00	
W	Vet, light, temperate	.70	
W	Net, light, extreme cold	.40	
Ē)ry, overcast, temperate	1.00	
N	Net, heavy, extreme cold	.30	3.4
Greece D	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.00	
r	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.00	.,
Ŵ	Vet, light, temperate	.70	
W	Vet, light, temperate	.70	3.4
Hungary I	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.00	
W	Net, light, temperate	.70	_
Ē	Dry, overcast, temperate	1.00	2.7
Poland D	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.00	
W	Vet, light, temperate	.70	
Ľ	bry, overcast, temperate	1.00	
N	Vet, light, extreme cold	.40	3.1
Romania D	Dry, sunshine, temperate	1.00	
W	Vet, light, temperate	.70	
D	bry, overcast, temperate	1.00	
Ŵ	Vet, light, extreme cold	.40	
ם	bry, overcast, extreme cold	.60	
Ŵ	let, heavy, extreme cold	.30	4.0

Total Europe

27.9

٩.

٠

Summary of Assigned Terrain and Weather Factors

•

Terrain

Europe

.

٠

+

East Asia

	points	# factors		points	# factors
Albania	1.9	3	N. Korea	1.9	3
Austria	1.4	2	S. Korea	1.1	2
Bulgaria	1.95	3	Vietnam	3.2	7
Czech.	2.65	4	<u>Okinawa</u>	.4	1
Yugo.	3.35	6	Total	6.6	13
Greece	1.9	3			
Hungary	2.0	3			
Poland	3.25	4			
<u>Romania</u>	1.9	3			
Total	20.3	31			
	20.3/31	= 0.6548		6.6/13	= .51

0.6548/0.51 = 1.284

Weather

<u>Burope</u>

East Asia

	<u>points</u>	<u># factors</u>		<u>points</u>	# factors
Albania	2.6	4	N. Korea	2.5	4
Austria	2.6	4	S. Korea	2.9	4
Bulgaria	2.7	4	Vietnam	4.9	7
Czech.	3.4	4	Okinawa	2.2	3
Yugo.	3.4	5	Total	12.5	18
Greece	3.4	4			
Hungary	2.7	3			
Poland	3.1	4			
Romania	4.0	<u>6</u>			
Total	27.9	38			
	27.9/38	= 0.7342		12.5/18	• .69

0.7342/0.69 = 1.06

Total Terrain and Weather Factor

Terrain factor x Weather factor = Total European Adjustment Factor 1.284 x 1.06 = $\frac{1.36}{1.36}$

Army N81 : ates* for Europe

	Infanoy	Divisional Noninfantry	Nondivisional
Europe	0.5367	().4111	0.3235

Army NBI Rates^{*} for East Asia

	Divisional Infantry Noninfantry Nondivisional		
Central and South Pacific	0.28	0.23	0.21
Korea	0.62	0,505	().4()
Vietnam	0.15	0.125	0.15
Overall East Asia	0.3465	0.2837	0.2508

Conversion Factors: East Asia to Europe

	Infantcy	Divisional Noninfatary	Nondivisional
Conversion Factor	0.537/0.346 = 1.5489	0.411/0.284 = 1.4491	0.324/0.251 = 1.2899

* from FM 101-10-1 (staff officers field manual)

¢

and and

į

13

e.

٩

REPORT DOCUME	INTATION PAGE		Form Approved OM8 No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collectio watering data sources, gathering and me burden entimate or any other aspect of Directorate for information Operations a	n of information is estimated to ave interning the data neetled, and com the collection of information, inclus nd Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis (CR04, 0.165). Workmother DC	rage 1 hour per response, inclu- pleting and reviewing the colle- ting suggestions for reducing the Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, 20503	uding the time for reviewing instructions, searching ction of information. Send comments regarding this his burden, to Washington Headquarters Services VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Loave L	Nank) 2. REPORT Novemb	DATE 3. Der 1994 F	REPORT TYPE AND DATE COVERED inal Oct 91 through Oct 93
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE PROJECTIONS OF BATTL AMONG U.S. MARINE FC OPERATIONS	EFIELD MEDICAL CAS DRCES FOR VARIOUS T	SUALTIES P PHEATERS OF W	FUNDING NUMBERS rogram Element: 63706N ork Unit Number:
6. AUTHOR(S) Christophe	r G. Blood, Eleand	r D. Gauker,	M0095.005-6204
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIC Naval Health Resear P. O. Box 85122	ch Center	ES) 8.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Report No. 93-35
 San Diego, CA 92186 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING Naval Medical Resea National Naval Medi Building 1, Tower 2 Bethesda, MD 20889- 	-5122 AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADD rch and Developmen cal Center 5044	DRESS(ES) 10 t Command	D. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
2a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT	12	3. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public unlimited.	release; distribu	tion is	
3. ABSIFACT (Maxmum 200 wo Wounded-in-action (WI (DNBI) data were extr four decades to proje scenarios. The resul were adjusted to refl for various operation highest in Southwest projections were simi disease rate forecast Casualty and disease Projected rates showe	A), Killed-in-Acti acted from the rec ct casualty and il ting empirically-d ect differences ir al theaters. Proj Asia, followed by lar between Southw s approximately ha rates varied with d wide variations	on (KIA), and di ords of military lness rates for lerived rates of terrain, weathe ected rates of c East Asia and Eu yest Asia and Eas lf of those in t combat/support s in the daily inc	sease/nonbattle injury operations spanning various operational casualties and illnesses r, and disease incidence asualty incidence were rope. Disease rate t Asia, with Europe he Asian regions. tatus of troops. idence of WIA and KIA.
4. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
Casualty projections wounded-in-action disease/nonbattle ini	U.S. Marine medical pla	nning	67 16. PRICE CODE
7. SECURITY CLASSIFICA- 18 TION OF REPORT	B. SECURITY CLASSIFICA- TION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIF	ICA- 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unlimited

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Pev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-102